

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/004,194

Applicant(s)

SCHOEB, RETO

Examiner

William H. Beisner

Art Unit

1744

All Participants:

(1) William H. Beisner.

(2) Kevin T. LeMond.

Status of Application: After Final Response

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All rejections of record

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

All prior art of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. LeMond was informed that the response dated 9/30/04 was persuasive to overcome the prior art rejections of record; however, a terminal disclaimer has not been received. Mr. LeMond will file a terminal disclaimer so as to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejections of record..